## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF WASHINGTON DIVISION II

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Respondent,

VS.

PATRICK JOHN McALLISTER,

Appellant.

# RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL GROUNDS

SCOTT ROSEKRANS
Jefferson County Prosecuting Attorney
P.O. Box 1220
Port Townsend, WA 98368
(360) 385-9180
Attorney for Respondent

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ARGUMENT	1
A. INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL	1
B. PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT	3
C. COURT ERROR	5
CONCLUSION	6

#### **ARGUMENT**

In his statement of additional grounds, Mr. McAllister asserts three types of error: Ineffective Assistance of Counsel, Prosecutorial Misconduct, and Court error. All of these issues were previously argued by his appellate attorneys and the law covering these issues has already been covered and will not be repeated here. Uniformly, these Supplemental Grounds are unsupported by the record or have already been addressed.

#### A. INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL

Review of the trial transcript shows Mr. McAllister's trial attorneys presented a professional and thorough defense.

McAllister's supplemental grounds fall into several categories:

- The trial attorneys argued a point in court, the court ruled
  against them, and they did not re-argue it at every opportunity.

  No prejudice has been shown and this was already presented in
  appellant's brief.
  - a. Nurse Culbertson's job title. VRP 38-39.
- 2. Failure to cross examine Ms. Lorega about several points that were subjects of pre-trial motions. These issues were raised with the court which ruled against them. The trial attorneys

RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL GROUNDS State of Washington v. Patrick J. McAllister Case No. 44031-8-II

may have determined the court was correct or that the cross examination would not help their case. No prejudice has been shown.

a. Physical exam of Ms. Lorega. VRP 37, 389.

#### 3. Failure to present witnesses:

- a. To rebut Mr. Perkins' testimony. There is nothing in the record to show any such witnesses exist, that the trial attorneys knew of them, or that the trial attorneys did not believe such testimony would aid their case.
- Failure to call his mother, Kari Clark. Ms. Clark testified. VRP 466.
- c. Recall Mr. Sabiniano to talk about Ms. Lorega's "love."

  Likely good trial strategy.
- d. Ms. Lorega's boyfriend. Trial strategy.
- e. Out of state witnesses. Trial strategy.
- 4. Failure to present an order reflecting court's rulings on motions in limine. CrR 3.6 only requires written findings if an evidentiary hearing is held. This was not the case here.
- Failure to impeach Ms. Lorega's testimony. Actually, the defense attorney did cross examine Detective Garrett on VRP

page 286-288 and note a discrepancy in Ms. Lorega's

testimony.

6. Failure to have an interpreter present for Ms. Lorega's

testimony. It is evident from the record that Ms. Lorega's

English was adequate at the trial and an interpreter was not

needed.

7. Failure to have Mr. Sabiniano's police report admitted. No

foundation.

8. Failure to get or admit Rose Perkins' telephone records to show

frequency of calls to Ms. Lorega (sisters). Not material.

9. Failure to object to Prosecutor's missing witness argument in

closing. The prosecutor argued there was missing material

under the defendant's control and this was argued in his brief.

10. Failure to elicit testimony regarding appellant's whereabouts

and actions on April 20-21, 2010. In testimony VRP 465-470.

**B. PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT** 

Mr. McAllister's supplemental grounds fall into several categories:

1. Prosecutor asked leading questions.

a. Ms. White. Direct exam at VRP 368-373 reviewed

and no leading questions found.

RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL GROUNDS

- b. Ms. White Redirect at 377-378. No leading questions found.
- c. Exam of Rose Perkins at 207-209. No leading questions found.
- 2. Prosecutor did not inform court of potentially exculpatory evidence.
  - a. Prosecutor knew bleeding lesions were caused by sexually transmitted diseases and did not acknowledge it. There is no evidence or testimony to that effect.
  - b. Did not offer Mr. Sabiniano's police report as evidence. There was no foundation for such a report.
  - 3. Prosecutor statement about U.S. immigration law
    - a. Need for Ms. Lorega to see a U.S. immigration doctor. Covered in Respondent's brief.
    - b. U Visa requirements. Covered in Respondent's brief

4. Prosecutor commented on character of witness at VRP 584.

Actually that exchange was during redirect of Mr. Temur Perkins

by defense counsel. VRP 583-584.

5. Prosecutor mismanaged case by asking for a continuance.

On July 13, 2012, the Prosecutor requested a continuance because

Nurse Culbertson, a key witness was out of the country and

expected back on August 1, 2012. In addition, Temur Perkins and

Rosemarie Perkins would be in Michigan for a preplanned

vacation and would not be back in time for the trial. The court

properly granted the motion.

6. Prosecutor mistaken about photos. No evidence to support

Mr. McAllister's assertion.

C. COURT ERROR

McAllister's supplemental grounds fall into several categories:

1. The court excluded the wedding dress as irrelevant.

Appellant does not state any grounds for abuse of

discretion.

2. Court System had bad audio. Good transcript belies that

assertion.

RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL GROUNDS

Mr. McAllister's Supplemental Grounds cite no additional authority nor valid errors and the Respondent again asks the court to deny this appeal.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The State respectfully requests that this Court affirm the trial court and that Appellant be ordered to pay costs, including attorney fees, pursuant to RAP 14.3,18.1 and RCW 10.73.

Respectfully submitted this 8<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2013.

SCOTT ROSEKRANS, Jefferson County Prosecuting Attorney

By: Thomas A. Brotherton, WSBA # 37624 Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

honer a Butter

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Janice N. Chadbourne, certify that on this date:

I filed the State's RESPONSE TO STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL GROUNDS electronically with the Court of Appeals, Division II, through the Court's online filing system.

I delivered an electronic version of same using the Court's filing portal to:

Backlund & Mistry backlundmistry@gmail.com

And to Defendant via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid:

Patrick John McAllister, DOC #360256 Washington Corrections Center 2321 West Dayton Airport Road PO Box 900 Shelton, WA 98584

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

Signed at Port Townsend, Washington on October 8, 2013.

Janice N. Chadbourne
Lead Legal Assistant

### **JEFFERSON COUNTY PROSECUTOR** October 08, 2013 - 9:49 AM

#### **Transmittal Letter**

Document Uploaded: 440318-Supplemental Response Brief~2.pdf

Case Name: State of Washington v. Patrick J. McAllister

Court of Appeals Case Number: 44031-8

Is t

#### The

The or Appeals case Namber. Those of
this a Personal Restraint Petition? Yes 🝙 No
document being Filed is:
Designation of Clerk's Papers Supplemental Designation of Clerk's Papers
Statement of Arrangements
Motion:
Answer/Reply to Motion:
Brief: Supplemental Response
Statement of Additional Authorities
Cost Bill
Objection to Cost Bill
Affidavit
Letter
Copy of Verbatim Report of Proceedings - No. of Volumes: Hearing Date(s):
Personal Restraint Petition (PRP)
Response to Personal Restraint Petition
Reply to Response to Personal Restraint Petition
Petition for Review (PRV)
Other:
Comments:
Response to Statement of Additional Grounds
Sender Name: Jan N. Chadbourne - Email: jchadbourne@co.jefferson.wa.us

A copy of this document has been emailed to the following addresses:

backlundmistry@gmail.com